

E_∞-algebras.

We could have started the theory of derived rings over a field k by considering a different stable ∞ -category as the home of our commutative algebra objects.

Recall Spc has a \otimes -str, let $\ast \xrightarrow{\mathbb{1}_{\text{Spc}}} \text{Spc}$ be its unit, by the universal property of Spec one has a colimit preserving functor:

$$\Sigma^\infty : \text{Spc} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{1}} \text{Spc} : \mathcal{R}^\infty, \text{ it has a right adjoint.}$$

FACT: - Spc has a t -structure described by:

$$\text{Spc}^{\geq 1} := \{ X \in \text{Spc} \mid \mathcal{R}^\infty(X) = \ast \}$$

Equivaleently, $\text{Spc}^{\geq 0}$ is the essential image of $\bigoplus \Sigma^\infty$ under colimits & extensions. \mathcal{R}^∞ can also be described as the composite.

$\text{Spc} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}^\infty} \text{Spc}$
 $\downarrow \text{ev}_0$
 $\text{Spc} \rightarrow \text{Spc}$
 $\downarrow \text{obv}$

- One can check that $\text{Spc}^{\heartsuit} \simeq \text{Ab}$
 ~~$\text{Hilb} \times \mathbb{Z}$~~ ~~$\text{Grp}$~~ The ordinary category of abelian groups.

Exercise: [HA. Prop. 1.4.3.6.] Ida $X \in \text{Spc}$ represented by

$$\{X(n)\}_{n \geq 0} \quad \text{w/} \quad X(n) = \mathcal{R}X(n+1).$$

- $\{X(n)\}_{n \geq 0} \in \text{Spc}^{\geq 0} \iff X(n)$ is n -truncated. $\forall n \geq 0$.

- $\{X(n)\}_{n \geq 0} \in \text{Spc}^{\leq 0} \iff X(n)$ is n -connected $\forall n \geq 0$.

$$\Rightarrow \text{Spc}^{\heartsuit} = \lim \dots \rightarrow \text{EM}_1(\text{Spc}) \rightarrow \text{EM}_0(\text{Spc}).$$

(\longleftarrow) \cdot 0-trun. & 0-con. objects of Spc

$n \geq 2$.

HIT Prop. 7.2.2.12 identifies $EM_n(\text{Spc}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Com Grp.}(\mathcal{Z}_{\leq 0}(\text{Spc}))$.

b/c $\mathcal{Z}_{\leq 0}(\text{Spc})$ is an ∞ -topos.

So the limit stabilizes.

Thus, $\tilde{h}_n: \text{Spc}_n \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ and

$$\text{Spc}_n^{\leq 0} = \{x \in \text{Spc}_n \mid \tilde{h}_i(x) = 0 \ \forall i < 0\}.$$

$$\text{Spc}_n^{\geq 0} = \{x \in \text{Spc}_n \mid \tilde{h}_i(x) = 0 \ \forall i > 0\}.$$

(connective).

Def'n: The ∞ -category of \mathbb{E}_{∞} -rings is defined as.

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}} := \text{CAlg}(\text{Spc}_n^{\leq 0}).$$

As before, one has a functor

$$z: \text{CAlg}(\text{Ab}) \rightarrow \text{CAlg}(\text{Spc}_n^{\leq 0}) \quad \text{s.t.}$$

(Rings)

- z is fully faithful.
- essential image of z is $\mathcal{Z}_{\leq 0}(\text{CAlg}(\text{Spc}_n^{\leq 0}))$, i.e. the discrete objects in \mathbb{E}_{∞} -rings.

In particular, for k a field we let

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}, k} := \text{CAlg}(\text{Spc}_n^{\leq 0})^{z(k/r)}$$

Thm: There exists a functor:

$$\tilde{J}: \text{CAlg}(k) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}, k} \quad \text{which is}$$

The functor comes from $u^R/\text{Vect}^{\leq 0}: \text{Vect}^{\leq 0} \rightarrow \text{Spc}_n^{\leq 0}$ an equivalence for any comm. ring k .
where $u: \text{Spc}_n \rightarrow \text{Vect}$ is the unit map in $\text{Cat}_{\infty}^{\text{st}}$ & (u, γ^R) .

we have

Before giving an idea of why this result we will need to discuss some modules over derived rings.

For completeness, before we do that we will consider a third model of derived rings.

Consider Poly_k the ordinary cat of finitely generated polynomial algebras over k (a comm. ring), e.g. $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ are its objects.

We let:

$$\mathcal{D}_S(\text{Poly}_k) := \{ F: \text{Poly}_k^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Spc} \mid F \text{ preserves finite products.} \}$$

Rk: For any ∞ -cat. \mathcal{L} w/ finite coproducts one can define $\mathcal{D}_S(\mathcal{L})$ as above. Moreover, $\mathcal{D}_S(\mathcal{L})$ is a model for the sifted completion of \mathcal{L} .

\hookrightarrow formally adjoints all sifted colimits.

Def'n: A simplicial set (or ∞ -cat.) K is sifted if the diagonal functor $\delta: K \rightarrow K \times K$ is cofinal, i.e.

$$\forall F: K \times K \rightarrow \text{Spc}$$

$$\text{colim}_{K \times K} F \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{colim}_{K \circ \delta} F$$

- E.g.:
- any filtered category, (or ∞ -category) is sifted.
 - Δ^{op} is sifted.

~~Prop:~~ Here are some properties of the construction $\mathcal{L} \mapsto \mathcal{D}_S(\mathcal{L})$.

- Prop:
- (i) $\mathcal{D}_S(\mathcal{L})$ is cocomplete;
 - (ii) the natural Yoneda inclusion $z: \mathcal{L} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_S(\mathcal{L})$ preserves coproducts;
 - (iii) the essential image of z are the compact & projective objects.
 - (iv) $\forall \mathcal{D}$ w/ sifted colimits, $\text{Func}(\mathcal{D}_S(\mathcal{L}), \mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Func}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{D})$ (preserves sifted)

Def'n: The category of simplicial commutative k-algebras is defined as:

$$SCR_k := \mathcal{P}_\Delta(\text{Poly}_k).$$

Rk: (i) let $SCR := \text{Fun}(\text{Poly}_k^{op}, \text{Set}_\Delta)$ ordinary category of Δ finite product-preserving \mathcal{P}_Δ functors. (Exercise: check this is equivalent to a naive definition of

SCR has a simplicial model structure, i.e. $SCR^{naive} := \text{Fun}(\Delta^{op}, \text{Chng})$ w.e. := $(f: X \rightarrow Y \mid f(R) = X(R) \rightarrow Y(R) \text{ is w.e. in } \text{Set}_\Delta \forall R \in \text{Poly}_k)$. fibrations := (————— fibrative —————)

Then [HTT, Gr. 5.5.9.3] $\Rightarrow SCR_k = \mathcal{P}_\Delta(\text{Poly}_k)$.

is $N^{hc}(SCR_{cf})$ cofibrant-fibrant subcategory.

(ii) Certain references, eg. Scholze ^{Coshevious} call objects of SCR_k quasi-rings.

Let $\mathcal{D}_0: \text{Poly}_k \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\text{Equiv}, k}$ denote the inclusion of polynomial k-algebras into discrete objects of $\text{Alg}_{\text{Equiv}, k}$.

By construction of SCR_k one obtains a functor:

$$\mathcal{D}: SCR_k \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\text{Equiv}, k} \text{ which commutes w/ sifted colimits.}$$

Thm: (i) \mathcal{D} preserves limits & colimits.

(ii) \mathcal{D} is conservative.

(iii) \mathcal{D} has left & right adjoints.

(iv) $\mathcal{D} \dashv \mathcal{Q} \subseteq k$, \mathcal{D} is an equivalence of ∞ -categories.

We don't have quite enough theory to prove or give an idea why this result works just yet. Go back to discussion of monoidal ∞ -cats. (Talk 9).